This report has been replaced by QR-26-00059 — a newer version of the product was reviewed.

BookPoint

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

BookPoint

Booking app for your salon and spa


Product ID	**B0001-33023**
Builder	**X1980 Group**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 4 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel · PHP 8.x · MySQL 8.0**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**4 Oct 2026 – 4 Oct 2026**

92 / 100

Passed with 4 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores



✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 4 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
4 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
4 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
4 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 93/100 with no failing check.
- ! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00001. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No committed real secrets detected. The .env.example ships with all sensitive fields empty (APP_KEY, DB_PASSWORD, ADMIN_PASSWORD, AWS_*, MAIL_PASSWORD) and explicit warnings that seeding stops without ADMIN_PASSWORD. However, several weak placeholder values could mislead buyers: DB_USERNAME='root', DB_DATABASE='bookpoint', MAIL_FROM_ADDRESS='hello@example.com'. These should be more obviously placeholder values to prevent accidental production use.	95	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	composer.lock is present and pins Laravel 13.17.0 (latest stable) with PHP 8.3 requirement. No known critical vulnerabilities detected. All production dependencies are pinned to specific versions. Dev dependencies (PHPUnit, Mockery) are also current.	95	Pass
Authorisation & access control	All mutating routes require authentication and role checks are enforced via middleware. Password hashing uses bcrypt. API keys are hashed and validated. The main gaps are missing ownership checks on a few client-facing read endpoints (receipts, reviews) where a client could view another client's data by changing an ID in the URL.	92	Warning
Database access rules	No SQL injection paths found—all queries use Eloquent or parameter binding. No unscoped deletes or updates on user data. The multi-tenant scope (BelongsToBusiness) is consistently applied. Schema migrations are complete and can build from empty. Minor warnings: several foreign key columns lack indexes (client_id, staff_id, service_id on bookings/payments/reviews), which will slow joins and lookups as data grows.	92	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with clear controller→service→model boundaries. However, several utility files are growing large (bookpoint.js at 140+ lines, helpers.php with many functions), and there is some duplicated validation and business logic across booking operations. A few hard-coded values should move to config.	78	Warning
Build reproducibility	The Laravel project is fully reproducible with composer.lock committed and clear setup steps. Docker compose references an .env file that must be manually created from an example, which is documented but could be clearer. The main setup document correctly sequences all steps including database creation.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No real credentials found; weak defaults in .env.example require attention before deployment**

No committed real secrets detected. The .env.example ships with all sensitive fields empty (APP_KEY, DB_PASSWORD, ADMIN_PASSWORD, AWS_*, MAIL_PASSWORD) and explicit warnings that seeding stops without ADMIN_PASSWORD. However, several weak placeholder values could mislead buyers: DB_USERNAME='root', DB_DATABASE='bookpoint', MAIL_FROM_ADDRESS='hello@example.com'. These should be more obviously placeholder values to prevent accidental production use.

Warning 2 **Strong authentication and role guards; minor ownership gaps on read-only client endpoints**

All mutating routes require authentication and role checks are enforced via middleware. Password hashing uses bcrypt. API keys are hashed and validated. The main gaps are missing ownership checks on a few client-facing read endpoints (receipts, reviews) where a client could view another client's data by changing an ID in the URL.

Warning 3 **Database access rules: clean ORM usage, minor schema gaps (missing indexes on foreign keys)**

No SQL injection paths found—all queries use Eloquent or parameter binding. No unscoped deletes or updates on user data. The multi-tenant scope (BelongsToBusiness) is consistently applied. Schema migrations are complete and can build from empty. Minor warnings: several foreign key columns lack indexes (client_id, staff_id, service_id on bookings/payments/reviews), which will slow joins and lookups as data grows.

Warning 4 **Good separation of concerns with some duplication and oversized utility files**

The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with clear controller→service→model boundaries. However, several utility files are growing large (bookpoint.js at 140+ lines, helpers.php with many functions), and there is some duplicated validation and business logic across booking operations. A few hard-coded values should move to config.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 4 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 4 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 4 Oct 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00059	1.1.0	23 Oct 2026	**82**	Current
QR-26-00001	1.0.0	4 Oct 2026	**92**	Replaced