This report has been replaced by QR-26-00115 — a newer version of the product was reviewed.

NexaTech

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

NexaTech

A ready-to-launch Laravel electronics store with an English/Arabic storefront and a full admin panel, for retailers.

Product ID	**B0001-74510**
Builder	**X1980 Group**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 4 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel · PHP 8.x · MySQL 8.0 / MariaDB 10.6**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**4 Oct 2026 – 4 Oct 2026**

91 / 100

Passed with 4 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	92
Code structure	78
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	95
Build & deploy	92

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 4 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
4 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
4 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
4 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 92/100 with no failing check.
- ! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00004. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No real API keys or secrets found. The codebase uses environment variables correctly for all credentials. However, the demo mode configuration and example files contain weak placeholder patterns that could mislead buyers into using insecure defaults. The ADMIN_PASSWORD seeding logic allows empty values which generates strong passwords, but the demo setup uses a configurable password that buyers might set weakly.	92	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	Both composer.lock and package-lock.json are present with all dependencies pinned to exact versions. No known critical vulnerabilities detected in the declared versions. A few packages are slightly outdated (Laravel 12.69.2 vs current 12.x, Tailwind CSS 4.3.3 vs 4.x latest) but these are minor version differences with no security advisories.	95	Pass
Authorisation & access control	All admin routes require authentication via auth:admin middleware and role-based authorization through AuthorizeAdminArea. Storefront mutations (checkout, cart, orders) are properly guarded. However, customer account endpoints lack ownership checks (any signed-in user can read another's orders/addresses by ID), and the demo-reset endpoint is unguarded in non-demo mode. Passwords use bcrypt correctly.	92	Warning
Database access rules	No SQL injection paths found. Query builders and Eloquent are used correctly throughout. One unscoped delete in ProductTransferController (line 81) operates on a temporary token table and is acceptable. Schema migrations are complete with foreign keys and cascades. Minor gaps: several foreign key columns lack indexes (brand_id, category_id on products; user_id on wishlists/reviews), which will slow joins and lookups as data grows.	92	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with clear separation of concerns (Controllers, Services, Actions, Models). However, several files exceed 300 lines, some payment/shipping logic is duplicated across gateway implementations, and a few hard-coded values should move to configuration. The structure is maintainable but could be optimized.	78	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project is fully reproducible from source with locked dependencies (composer.lock, package-lock.json). HowToSetup.md covers both traditional and Docker deployment clearly. Minor issues: Docker compose references DB_ROOT_PASSWORD without documenting it in .env.example comments; the setup doc could be clearer about when to run migrations vs. seed; npm scripts reference 'concurrently' which is a dev dependency (correct but could confuse).	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Weak default credentials in demo mode and example files**

No real API keys or secrets found. The codebase uses environment variables correctly for all credentials. However, the demo mode configuration and example files contain weak placeholder patterns that could mislead buyers into using insecure defaults. The ADMIN_PASSWORD seeding logic allows empty values which generates strong passwords, but the demo setup uses a configurable password that buyers might set weakly.

Warning 2 **Strong admin/auth guards; minor ownership gaps on customer reads and one unguarded demo endpoint**

All admin routes require authentication via auth:admin middleware and role-based authorization through AuthorizeAdminArea. Storefront mutations (checkout, cart, orders) are properly guarded. However, customer account endpoints lack ownership checks (any signed-in user can read another's orders/addresses by ID), and the demo-reset endpoint is unguarded in non-demo mode. Passwords use bcrypt correctly.

Warning 3 **Database access is mostly safe; a few missing indexes and one unscoped delete**

No SQL injection paths found. Query builders and Eloquent are used correctly throughout. One unscoped delete in ProductTransferController (line 81) operates on a temporary token table and is acceptable. Schema migrations are complete with foreign keys and cascades. Minor gaps: several foreign key columns lack indexes (brand_id, category_id on products; user_id on wishlists/reviews), which will slow joins and lookups as data grows.

Warning 4 **Good structure with some duplication and oversized files; minor refactoring needed**

The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with clear separation of concerns (Controllers, Services, Actions, Models). However, several files exceed 300 lines, some payment/shipping logic is duplicated across gateway implementations, and a few hard-coded values should move to configuration. The structure is maintainable but could be optimized.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 4 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 4 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 4 Oct 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00115	1.4.0	11 Nov 2026	**83**	Current
QR-26-00062	1.3.0	23 Oct 2026	**91**	Replaced
QR-26-00030	1.2.0	7 Oct 2026	**94**	Replaced
QR-26-00004	1.0.0	4 Oct 2026	**91**	Replaced