


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Swapio

Full-stack classifieds platform with ASP.NET Core backend, Flutter mobile apps, and admin panel for local markets

Product ID	**B0003-22461**
Builder	**Max code**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 6 Oct 2026**
Stack	**ASP.NET Core 10 + Flutter 3.35 · C# 10 / .NET SDK 10.0 · SQL Server 2019+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**6 Oct 2026 – 6 Oct 2026**

64 / 100

Listed after a manual review

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	60
Code structure	62
Docs & completeness	82
Dependency health	72
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 6 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
6 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Oct 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 14 of 17 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

! Security scored 60/100.

! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00014. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No live production secrets detected. However, the seed.sql contains a weak default admin password (Admin@123) that ships to buyers, and HowToSetup.md includes example credentials that look realistic (A_STRONG_PASSWORD). The appsettings.json file is committed but appears to use placeholders. Docker compose requires environment variables correctly.	**92**	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The .NET project uses packages.lock.json with pinned versions. Flutter uses pubspec.lock with pinned versions. No critical vulnerabilities detected in the scanned manifests, but several packages are outdated (CKEditor 4.x, jQuery 3.x, Bootstrap 4.x in admin panel). The project would benefit from dependency updates, particularly for the admin panel frontend libraries.	**72**	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Minor points were sent to the builder to improve; none of them stopped the listing.	**45**	Fail
Database access rules	Minor points were sent to the builder to improve; none of them stopped the listing.	**42**	Fail
Code structure & duplication	The codebase exhibits substantial duplication across migrations, controllers mixing business logic with HTTP concerns, and several files exceeding 1000 lines. While the architecture is documented, implementation has drifted into patterns that will make future maintenance difficult. Key issues: 151 migration files with repetitive schema definitions, controllers handling both API and admin logic, and hard-coded configuration values scattered throughout.	**62**	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project can build but has several reproducibility issues. HowToSetup.md lacks the exact .NET SDK version (only says '.NET 8'), Docker compose references .env variables without documenting all required values, and Flutter build instructions in multiple READMEs create confusion. Database setup is documented but migration application steps are unclear.	**72**	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Weak default credentials and example files with realistic values present**

No live production secrets detected. However, the seed.sql contains a weak default admin password (Admin@123) that ships to buyers, and HowToSetup.md includes example credentials that look realistic (A_STRONG_PASSWORD). The appsettings.json file is committed but appears to use placeholders. Docker compose requires environment variables correctly.

Warning 2 **Multiple outdated dependencies, no critical vulnerabilities detected**

The .NET project uses packages.lock.json with pinned versions. Flutter uses pubspec.lock with pinned versions. No critical vulnerabilities detected in the scanned manifests, but several packages are outdated (CKEditor 4.x, jQuery 3.x, Bootstrap 4.x in admin panel). The project would benefit from dependency updates, particularly for the admin panel frontend libraries.

Warning 3 **Significant code duplication, oversized files, and mixed concerns reduce maintainability**

The codebase exhibits substantial duplication across migrations, controllers mixing business logic with HTTP concerns, and several files exceeding 1000 lines. While the architecture is documented, implementation has drifted into patterns that will make future maintenance difficult. Key issues: 151 migration files with repetitive schema definitions, controllers handling both API and admin logic, and hard-coded configuration values scattered throughout.

Warning 4 **Build reproducible with gaps: missing .NET SDK version, incomplete Docker setup, unclear Flutter config**

The project can build but has several reproducibility issues. HowToSetup.md lacks the exact .NET SDK version (only says '.NET 8'), Docker compose references .env variables without documenting all required values, and Flutter build instructions in multiple READMEs create confusion. Database setup is documented but migration application steps are unclear.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 14 of 17 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	Mobile app buildable and pointed at a configurable API	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	appsettings.example.json	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	a project file	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 6 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Oct 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.