This report has been replaced by QR-26-00075 — a newer version of the product was reviewed.



VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

AgencyDesk

Client portal and agency workspace for creative, design, marketing and digital agencies

Product ID	**B0001-93354**
Builder	**Max code**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 6 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 13.34.0 · PHP 8.3+ · MySQL 8.0+ or MariaDB 10.6+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**4 Oct 2026 – 6 Oct 2026 · 1 round of changes**

90 / 100

Passed with 4 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	95
Code structure	78
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	100
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 4 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
5 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 95/100 with no failing check.
- ! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00020. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All regex candidates are placeholders, example values, or Laravel framework defaults. The .env.example file correctly uses placeholder values and instructs users to generate keys. No real API keys, passwords, or credentials are committed.	**100**	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	composer.json pins all direct dependencies to exact versions (e.g., laravel/framework: 13.34.0). composer.lock is present with 309 KB of locked transitive dependencies. The project enforces 'composer audit --locked' in scripts and blocks insecure packages via config.audit settings.	**100**	Pass
Authorisation & access control	All mutating routes require authentication and proper role/permission checks via middleware. Password reset tokens and two-factor codes are properly hashed. A few read-only endpoints (file download, approval show, invoice show) lack explicit ownership verification in the controller, relying solely on Eloquent scopes which could be bypassed if the scope is removed in future refactoring.	**92**	Warning
Database access rules	No SQL injection or unscoped destructive queries found. All queries use Eloquent or parameter binding. Multi-tenant scoping is enforced via visibleTo() scopes and agency_id checks. Schema has proper foreign keys with cascades, but a few foreign key columns lack indexes which may impact query performance at scale.	**92**	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The codebase demonstrates solid architectural discipline with business logic properly isolated in Services and permission checks in Middleware. However, several controllers exceed 150 lines, there is duplicated validation logic across request classes, and some configuration values are hard-coded in controllers rather than centralized.	**78**	Warning
Build reproducibility	The Laravel project can be built from source with composer install and artisan commands. However, HowToSetup.md omits critical database creation steps, doesn't specify MySQL version requirements clearly, and the Docker setup lacks volume persistence configuration. The .env.example shows all required variables but setup instructions assume pre-existing database.	**72**	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Database access is safe; minor schema gaps (missing indexes on some foreign keys)**

No SQL injection or unscoped destructive queries found. All queries use Eloquent or parameter binding. Multi-tenant scoping is enforced via visibleTo() scopes and agency_id checks. Schema has proper foreign keys with cascades, but a few foreign key columns lack indexes which may impact query performance at scale.

Warning 2 **Strong authentication and role checks; minor ownership gaps on read-only endpoints**

All mutating routes require authentication and proper role/permission checks via middleware. Password reset tokens and two-factor codes are properly hashed. A few read-only endpoints (file download, approval show, invoice show) lack explicit ownership verification in the controller, relying solely on Eloquent scopes which could be bypassed if the scope is removed in future refactoring.

Warning 3 **Good separation of concerns with minor duplication and some oversized controllers**

The codebase demonstrates solid architectural discipline with business logic properly isolated in Services and permission checks in Middleware. However, several controllers exceed 150 lines, there is duplicated validation logic across request classes, and some configuration values are hard-coded in controllers rather than centralized.

Warning 4 **Build reproducible with gaps: missing database setup steps and incomplete Docker instructions**

The Laravel project can be built from source with composer install and artisan commands. However, HowToSetup.md omits critical database creation steps, doesn't specify MySQL version requirements clearly, and the Docker setup lacks volume persistence configuration. The .env.example shows all required variables but setup instructions assume pre-existing database.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 5 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

Round 1: changes requested on 5 Oct 2026; the builder fixed them and uploaded again.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Oct 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00075	1.4.0	27 Oct 2026	**83**	Current
QR-26-00020	1.0.0	6 Oct 2026	**90**	Replaced