


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

assistra

Multi-tenant help desk with tickets, SLAs, automation, knowledge base, community and analytics for support teams.

Product ID	**B0005-63903**
Builder	**Chow Studio**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 9 Oct 2026**
Stack	**ASP.NET Core 10 MVC (Razor) · C# 12 / .NET 10 · SQL Server 2019+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**9 Oct 2026 – 9 Oct 2026**

90 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	93
Code structure	72
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	85
Build & deploy	92

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 9 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
9 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
9 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
9 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 93/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00034. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No real API keys or production credentials were found. The demo password 'Demo@2026' is hardcoded in multiple files and ships to buyers, creating a security risk if demo mode is accidentally enabled in production. The .env.example file correctly uses placeholders for all secrets.	**92**	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The .NET project uses packages.lock.json with locked dependencies. No known critical vulnerabilities detected in the locked versions. Several packages are 1-2 minor versions behind current stable releases (e.g., Dapper 2.1.35 vs 2.1.44, MailKit 4.8.0 vs 4.9.0). The Dockerfile uses mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/aspnet:10.0 without a digest pin, allowing silent base image updates.	**85**	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Every mutating endpoint has an authentication guard via [RequirePermission] attributes or explicit checks. Password storage uses [hidden] hashing. Multi-tenant isolation is enforced through OrgId filtering in repositories. A few read endpoints lack explicit ownership validation beyond tenant scope, but these are low-risk as they still filter by OrgI	**95**	Pass
Database access rules	All SQL is parameterized via ADO.NET with no string concatenation of user input. Every repository query filters by OrgId for multi-tenancy. Delete and update statements include WHERE clauses scoped to tenant and record. The schema is complete and can be created from empty, but a few foreign key columns lack indexes which may cause performance issues at scale.	**92**	Pass
Code structure & duplication	The project follows Clean Architecture well with clear module boundaries and good separation of concerns. However, there is noticeable duplication in repository patterns, some large service files, and hard-coded configuration values scattered across multiple locations. These issues don't break the design but increase maintenance burden.	**72**	Warning
Build reproducibility	The solution builds cleanly with .NET 10 SDK and SQL Server 2019+. All project references resolve, Docker setup is complete, and the setup document covers prerequisites. Minor issues: the setup document doesn't explicitly state the .NET 10 SDK version requirement upfront, and the Docker init script references a non-existent app-login.sql file (though the functionality works via inline SQL).	**92**	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No critical vulnerabilities; some packages outdated, no lockfile for Docker base image**

The .NET project uses packages.lock.json with locked dependencies. No known critical vulnerabilities detected in the locked versions. Several packages are 1-2 minor versions behind current stable releases (e.g., Dapper 2.1.35 vs 2.1.44, MailKit 4.8.0 vs 4.9.0). The Dockerfile uses mcr.microsoft.com/dotnet/aspnet:10.0 without a digest pin, allowing silent base image updates.

Warning 2 **Weak demo password ships to production; no real credentials found**

No real API keys or production credentials were found. The demo password 'Demo@2026' is hardcoded in multiple files and ships to buyers, creating a security risk if demo mode is accidentally enabled in production. The .env.example file correctly uses placeholders for all secrets.

Warning 3 **Moderate code duplication and some oversized files; architecture generally sound**

The project follows Clean Architecture well with clear module boundaries and good separation of concerns. However, there is noticeable duplication in repository patterns, some large service files, and hard-coded configuration values scattered across multiple locations. These issues don't break the design but increase maintenance burden.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	appsettings.example.json	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 9 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 9 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 9 Oct 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.