



VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Smart Treasury

Secure desktop wealth tracker using your own Google Sheet as database — banks, deposits, shares, business.

Product ID	B0408-33675
Builder	Uptechnure Soft
Version reviewed	1.0.0 · released 22 Oct 2026
Stack	Electron 44.9.0 · JavaScript (Node.js 20.18+) · Google Sheets (via REST API v4)
Built with	Claude Code
Review period	20 Oct 2026 – 22 Oct 2026 · 1 round of changes

82 / 100

Listed after a manual review

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	80
Code structure	72
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	85
Build & deploy	92

✓ AI code audit 6 checks · 19 Oct 2026	✓ Installed & run 21 Oct 2026	✓ Demo vs delivered 22 Oct 2026	✓ Final sign-off 22 Oct 2026
--	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 14 of 14 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 80/100.
- ! 2 open warnings — explained in part 1.



Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00048. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	The codebase contains no hardcoded API keys, private keys, or credentials. All sensitive data (Google service account key, spreadsheet ID, master password) is entered by the user through the setup screen and stored encrypted locally. The .env.example files contain only feature flags and optional paths, no secrets.	100	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	package-lock.json is present and all dependencies are pinned. Electron 44.9.0 is 3 minor versions behind the latest 44.x (44.12.0 as of Jan 2025), and Chart.js 4.5.1 is behind 4.6.0. No known critical vulnerabilities found in the declared versions, but staying current reduces exposure to undisclosed issues.	85	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Minor points were sent to the builder to improve; none of them stopped the listing.	45	Fail
Database access rules	The app uses Google Sheets as the database with a REST API client that properly escapes values. No raw SQL concatenation or injection paths exist. The schema.sql is complete with foreign keys and CASCADE rules. Minor: foreign key columns lack explicit indexes (Google Sheets has no indexes, but the SQL schema should declare them for portability).	95	Pass
Code structure & duplication	The project has good module separation (main/renderer/test) and no god files, but several concerns reduce maintainability: password field UI is duplicated across auth screens, financial calculations are mixed with formatting logic, and some view files exceed 500 lines. Hard-coded strings and magic numbers appear in multiple places.	72	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project is well-structured with a complete package-lock.json, clear build scripts, and comprehensive setup documentation. All dependencies resolve correctly and build steps are documented. Minor issues: HowToSetup.md doesn't specify exact Node.js version (package.json requires >=20.18), and the Google service account setup process could be more explicit about JSON key format requirements.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 Dependencies pinned in lockfile; Electron 44.9.0 and Chart.js 4.5.1 are outdated

package-lock.json is present and all dependencies are pinned. Electron 44.9.0 is 3 minor versions behind the latest 44.x (44.12.0 as of Jan 2025), and Chart.js 4.5.1 is behind 4.6.0. No known critical vulnerabilities found in the declared versions, but staying current reduces exposure to undisclosed issues.

Warning 2 Moderate code duplication and some oversized files; structure is sound but maintainability could improve

The project has good module separation (main/renderer/test) and no god files, but several concerns reduce maintainability: password field UI is duplicated across auth screens, financial calculations are mixed with formatting logic, and some view files exceed 500 lines. Hard-coded strings and magic numbers appear in multiple places.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 14 of 14 met.

✓ Complete source for every component	Met
✓ No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓ Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓ Database script or migrations	Met
✓ Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓ Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓ Demo download or video	Met
✓ README.md	Met
✓ HowToSetup.md	Met
✓ CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓ CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓ .env.example	Met
✓ a project file	Met
✓ a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 21 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	N/A	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 22 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 22 Oct 2026 with 2 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.