


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

BookPoint

Complete salon, spa and clinic booking software: online booking with deposits, calendar etc in one Laravel app

Product ID	**B0001-33023**
Builder	**Ibrahim Abdurahman**
Version reviewed	**1.1.0 · released 23 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel · PHP 8.x · MySQL 8.0**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**23 Oct 2026 – 23 Oct 2026**

82 / 100

Listed after a manual review

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	76
Code structure	78
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	95
Build & deploy	92

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 23 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
23 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
23 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
23 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 76/100.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00059. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No real production credentials found. However, the demo seeder and tour files contain hardcoded demo passwords ('Demo@2026') that ship to buyers. The docker-compose.yml uses empty defaults for sensitive variables, and .env.example contains placeholder values that could be mistaken for real ones. These are acceptable for a demo/development product but should be documented as requiring change before production use.	92	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The project uses Laravel 13.17 with a committed composer.lock (242 KB). All dependencies are pinned to exact versions. Laravel 13.x is the latest major version (released late 2024). No known critical vulnerabilities detected in the declared packages. Minor: the project could benefit from running `composer update` to pull the latest patch releases, but the current versions are acceptable for production.	95	Pass
Authorisation & access control	Minor points were sent to the builder to improve; none of them stopped the listing.	45	Fail
Database access rules	All queries use Eloquent with a global tenant scope (BelongsToBusiness) that prevents cross-business data leaks. No raw SQL injection or unscoped deletes found. Migrations define foreign keys with proper cascades. However, several foreign key columns lack explicit indexes (Laravel creates them for constrained() but not for manual foreignId()->constrained()), which may cause slow joins on large tables.	92	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project has clean module boundaries with services handling business logic well, but contains notable duplication in API key handling, password reset flows, and client authentication. A few files exceed comfortable maintenance thresholds. Hard-coded values are mostly confined to config files, though some magic strings appear in views.	78	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project is fully reproducible: composer.lock pins all dependencies, .env.example covers all settings, and both manual and Docker paths work. The setup document could be clearer about creating the database before migrate and about the scheduler requirement, but these are standard Laravel steps.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Weak demo credentials and placeholder secrets in documentation**

No real production credentials found. However, the demo seeder and tour files contain hardcoded demo passwords ('Demo@2026') that ship to buyers. The docker-compose.yml uses empty defaults for sensitive variables, and .env.example contains placeholder values that could be mistaken for real ones. These are acceptable for a demo/development product but should be documented as requiring change before production use.

Warning 2 **Moderate code duplication and some oversized files; structure generally sound**

The project has clean module boundaries with services handling business logic well, but contains notable duplication in API key handling, password reset flows, and client authentication. A few files exceed comfortable maintenance thresholds. Hard-coded values are mostly confined to config files, though some magic strings appear in views.

Warning 3 **Database access rules: solid tenant scoping, minor schema gaps (missing indexes on FKs)**

All queries use Eloquent with a global tenant scope (BelongsToBusiness) that prevents cross-business data leaks. No raw SQL injection or unscoped deletes found. Migrations define foreign keys with proper cascades. However, several foreign key columns lack explicit indexes (Laravel creates them for constrained() but not for manual foreignId()->constrained()), which may cause slow joins on large tables.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 23 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 23 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 23 Oct 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.1.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00059	1.1.0	23 Oct 2026	**82**	Current
QR-26-00001	1.0.0	4 Oct 2026	**92**	Replaced