


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Torqly — Auto Repair Workshop & Garage Management System

Auto repair shop management: job cards, parts inventory, invoices & appointments for independent garages.

Product ID	**B0651-35845**
Builder	**Artfall Studio**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 27 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 13 · PHP 8.3 · MySQL 8.0+ / MariaDB 10.6+ / SQLite 3.35+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**23 Oct 2026 – 27 Oct 2026 · 1 round of changes**

87 / 100

Passed with 5 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	88
Code structure	78
Docs & completeness	100
Dependency health	85
Build & deploy	92

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 23 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
27 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
27 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
27 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ✓ 15 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 88/100 with no failing check.
- ! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00068. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	The demo seed file contains weak default passwords ('password') for test accounts. While these are clearly marked as demo data and not production credentials, buyers deploying without changing them could expose their installation. No real API keys, private keys, or production credentials were found in the codebase.	92	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The project uses Composer (PHP) and npm (JavaScript) with lockfiles present. Laravel 13.17 and PHP 8.3 dependencies are current. Several npm packages are outdated (Vite 8.0.0, Tailwind 4.0.0 are pre-release versions) but no known critical vulnerabilities were found. The demo uses SQLite which is appropriate for testing.	85	Warning
Authorisation & access control	All mutating routes require authentication and role checks are enforced via middleware. Admin, advisor and mechanic roles are properly segregated. Password hashing uses bcrypt. Minor issue: customer and vehicle show/edit endpoints lack ownership checks—any authenticated user can view any customer/vehicle by changing the ID in the URL.	95	Warning
Database access rules	No SQL injection vulnerabilities found—all queries use parameter binding or Eloquent. However, several foreign key columns lack indexes (customer_id, vehicle_id, mechanic_id, part_id), which will cause performance issues as data grows. Two delete operations lack proper scoping checks (Customer and Part controllers), though they have existence checks. The schema is complete and can be created from empty.	78	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with business logic properly isolated in Services. However, there is moderate duplication in validation rules across controllers, some large controller methods, and configuration values scattered in multiple places. The architecture is sound but could benefit from extracting shared validation and reducing controller method sizes.	78	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project is fully reproducible. All dependencies resolve, framework versions are consistent (Laravel 13, PHP 8.3), and build output is properly excluded. HowToSetup.md covers all deployment paths but could be clearer about the order of npm build vs migration steps and SQLite file creation timing.	92	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Weak default passwords in demo seed data; no real credentials found**

The demo seed file contains weak default passwords ('password') for test accounts. While these are clearly marked as demo data and not production credentials, buyers deploying without changing them could expose their installation. No real API keys, private keys, or production credentials were found in the codebase.

Warning 2 **Strong authentication & role guards; minor ownership gaps on read-only customer/vehicle endpoints**

All mutating routes require authentication and role checks are enforced via middleware. Admin, advisor and mechanic roles are properly segregated. Password hashing uses bcrypt. Minor issue: customer and vehicle show/edit endpoints lack ownership checks —any authenticated user can view any customer/vehicle by changing the ID in the URL.

Warning 3 **Dependencies mostly current; some outdated packages but no known critical vulnerabilities**

The project uses Composer (PHP) and npm (JavaScript) with lockfiles present. Laravel 13.17 and PHP 8.3 dependencies are current. Several npm packages are outdated (Vite 8.0.0, Tailwind 4.0.0 are pre-release versions) but no known critical vulnerabilities were found. The demo uses SQLite which is appropriate for testing.

Warning 4 **Good separation of concerns with minor duplication and some oversized files**

The codebase follows Laravel conventions well with business logic properly isolated in Services. However, there is moderate duplication in validation rules across controllers, some large controller methods, and configuration values scattered in multiple places. The architecture is sound but could benefit from extracting shared validation and reducing controller method sizes.

Warning 5 **Missing foreign key indexes and some unscoped deletes; no injection but schema gaps exist**

No SQL injection vulnerabilities found—all queries use parameter binding or Eloquent. However, several foreign key columns lack indexes (customer_id, vehicle_id, mechanic_id, part_id), which will cause performance issues as data grows. Two delete operations lack proper scoping checks (Customer and Part controllers), though they have existence checks. The schema is complete and can be created from empty.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 27 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 27 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 27 Oct 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.