

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

AgencyDesk

Client portal and agency workspace for creative, design, marketing and digital agencies

Product ID	**B0001-93354**
Builder	**Max code**
Version reviewed	**1.4.0 · released 27 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 13.34.0 · PHP 8.3+ · MySQL 8.0+ or MariaDB 10.6+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**26 Oct 2026 – 27 Oct 2026**

83 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	84
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	80
Dependency health	90
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 26 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
27 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
27 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
27 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 12 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 84/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00075. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All six regex candidates are false positives: they are variable names, Laravel Password broker calls and a mail string, not credentials. .env.example uses empty or placeholder values, and no committed .env, keys or keystores appear in the digest. The one weak default is the demo seed's public password 'password', which is documented and guarded against production use.	93	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	The only manifest is composer.json, with a committed composer.lock (309 KB). Direct dependencies are pinned to exact versions, and composer is configured to block insecure and fail on abandoned packages. I found no known critical vulnerabilities in the declared versions, but the PHP requirement conflicts with the declared stack and the lockfile contents were not visible.	90	Pass
Authorisation & access control	The visible code uses hashed passwords, a two-step login, an own() ownership helper that returns 404 across agencies and clients, and tenancy scopes (visibleTo). However, a project file, the middleware bodies and most controllers were not in the digest, so route-level guards on mutating and admin endpoints could not be traced. The score is capped until those can be confirmed.	80	Warning
Database access rules	The visible code uses Eloquent, validated requests and visibleTo() scopes with an own() ownership check (404 across agency, client or unassigned project). The migrations include dedicated foreign key and query index files, but the digest omits the models, services and migrations, so scoping on deletes and updates, raw SQL and N+1 paths could not be confirmed. The score is capped for that gap.	80	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The digest shows a clear split between controllers, services, support classes and form requests, with the largest controller at 142 lines and no god files. Some structural nits remain, such as duplicated admin and portal controller logic and a few values that belong in configuration.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	The manifest, lockfile, Dockerfile, compose and migrations are all present, and dependencies are pinned. However the declared PHP floor conflicts across files (8.3+ declared vs ^8.4 in composer.json), and HowToSetup.md was not visible, so prerequisite and step order could not be confirmed.	72	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Authz design looks solid, but a project file and most controllers were not visible, so it can't be fully verified**

The visible code uses hashed passwords, a two-step login, an own() ownership helper that returns 404 across agencies and clients, and tenancy scopes (visibleTo). However, a project file, the middleware bodies and most controllers were not in the digest, so route-level guards on mutating and admin endpoints could not be traced. The score is capped until those can be confirmed.

Warning 2 **No injection seen in the visible code, but the DB layer could only be partly verified from the digest**

The visible code uses Eloquent, validated requests and visibleTo() scopes with an own() ownership check (404 across agency, client or unassigned project). The migrations include dedicated foreign key and query index files, but the digest omits the models, services and migrations, so scoping on deletes and updates, raw SQL and N+1 paths could not be confirmed. The score is capped for that gap.

Warning 3 **Mostly reproducible; PHP version inconsistencies and an unverified setup document**

The manifest, lockfile, Dockerfile, compose and migrations are all present, and dependencies are pinned. However the declared PHP floor conflicts across files (8.3+ declared vs ^8.4 in composer.json), and HowToSetup.md was not visible, so prerequisite and step order could not be confirmed.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 12 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
!	Working live demo	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 27 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 27 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 27 Oct 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.4.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00075	1.4.0	27 Oct 2026	**83**	Current
QR-26-00020	1.0.0	6 Oct 2026	**90**	Replaced