


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Linkhive — ISP Billing, Customer Portal & MikroTik / RADIUS Automation

Self-hosted ISP billing and MikroTik/RADIUS automation for broadband and Wi-Fi with customer and technician portals.

Product ID	**B0264-81528**
Builder	**Ahsan Alam**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 29 Oct 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 12 · PHP 8.3+ (CI runs 8.3 and 8.4) · MySQL 8 / MariaDB 10.6+ (SQLite for trials)**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**28 Oct 2026 – 29 Oct 2026**

81 / 100

Passed with 2 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	78
Code structure	88
Docs & completeness	60
Dependency health	82
Build & deploy	86

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 27 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
29 Oct 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
29 Oct 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
29 Oct 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 15 of 25 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 78/100 with no failing check.
- ! 2 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00084. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All regex candidates are placeholders, validation rules, env references or runtime-generated passwords and test tokens. The only item worth noting is a literal 'CHANGE-ME' password in a RouterOS command snippet that buyers might paste verbatim.	93	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	The Laravel app ships composer.lock and the Android shell ships package-lock.json and yarn.lock, with Capacitor pinned exactly. I could only see composer.json and package.json, not the lockfile contents, so I could not check installed versions against advisories. The README claims 'composer audit' is clean, but this is unverified.	82	Pass
Authorisation & access control	The documented design is solid: permission middleware, visibleTo() scopes, route binding for IDOR protection, Sanctum token abilities, hashed passwords via Password:[hidden], and tests for IDOR. However a project file, a project file and most controllers were not in the digest, so no route could be traced end to end. A few visible items also need attention.	72	Warning
Database access rules	The digest never included a project file, the ISP migration, the models, Sql.php, RadiusDriver.php or the report queries. Project rules (query builder only, visibleTo scopes, route binding for IDOR protection) and the claimed security tests are good signs, but they cannot be confirmed from the code shown. The score is capped until the raw-SQL and schema areas are reviewed.	70	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The visible structure separates billing, network, payments, notifications and admin controllers into clear namespaces, and controllers delegate to services and Form Requests. The main maintainability costs are a shared CRUD base pattern that other controllers must follow and a few hard-coded values that belong in configuration; the digest does not show enough of the codebase to confirm the largest files.	88	Pass
Build reproducibility	Manifests are coherent: composer.json requires PHP ^8.3 with Laravel 12, a lockfile is present, and the mobile app pins exact Capacitor versions with lockfiles. No build output or vendor folders appear committed, and the setup flow (copy .env, key:generate, linkhive:install) is documented across several files. HowToSetup.md and the Docker files were not fully visible, so database creation and compose coherence could not be verified.	86	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Database layer appears disciplined, but the key files (schema, migrations, models, RADIUS driver) were not visible**

The digest never included a project file, the ISP migration, the models, Sql.php, RadiusDriver.php or the report queries. Project rules (query builder only, visibleTo scopes, route binding for IDOR protection) and the claimed security tests are good signs, but they cannot be confirmed from the code shown. The score is capped until the raw-SQL and schema areas are reviewed.

Warning 2 **Authz design looks strong, but routes and controllers were not visible so enforcement is unverified**

The documented design is solid: permission middleware, visibleTo() scopes, route binding for IDOR protection, Sanctum token abilities, hashed passwords via Password:[hidden], and tests for IDOR. However a project file, a project file and most controllers were not in the digest, so no route could be traced end to end. A few visible items also need attention.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 15 of 25 met.

!	Complete source of every part	Partial
✓	No real credentials	Met
!	Single example environment file	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies	Partial
!	Database creates from empty	Partial
!	HowToSetup with two targets	Partial
!	Working demo	Partial
!	Authorisation on every write route	Partial
!	Five differentiators actually exist (nice)	Partial
✓	Seed data (nice)	Met
✓	AI tooling guide (nice)	Met
✓	Changelog starting at 1.0.0 (nice)	Met
!	Container setup (nice)	Partial
!	Code structure for extension (nice)	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	Laravel a project filee	Met
✓	Laravel a project file	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	LICENSE-NOTE.md (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose.yml (nice)	Met
✓	docs/ (optional)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 29 Oct 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 29 Oct 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 29 Oct 2026 with 2 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.