


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

# Multi-Tenant eCommerce SaaS Platform

Self-hosted Laravel SaaS for launching and selling hosted online stores, with POS, blog, REST API and custom domains.

| | |
|---|---|
| Product ID | **B0055-45174** |
| Builder | **Asad · Devwhite** |
| Version reviewed | **1.0.0 · released 4 Nov 2026** |
| Stack | **Laravel 13 with Inertia 3 and React 19 for the admin panels; Blade and Alpine.js for storefronts · PHP 8.3+ (with TypeScript and JavaScript for the front end) · MySQL 8.0+ or MariaDB 10.6+** |
| Built with | **Claude Code** |
| Review period | **26 Oct 2026 – 4 Nov 2026 · 2 rounds of changes** |

**79** / 100

**Passed with 5 warnings**

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

## Scores

| | |
|---|---|
| Security | 78 |
| Code structure | 84 |
| Docs & completeness | 48 |
| Dependency health | 80 |
| Build & deploy | 80 |

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 26 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
2 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
4 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
4 Nov 2026

## At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 5 of 5 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 11 of 23 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 78/100 with no failing check.

! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

**Check this report is genuine.** Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00089. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

# AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

| CHECK | WHAT WE FOUND | SCORE | RESULT |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Secrets & credentials** | Every regex candidate was reviewed. Almost all are code references to tokens or passwords (variables, validation rules, framework constants), not secrets. The only literal value is a 'change-me' DB password in HowToSetup.md, which is a placeholder. The .env.example is clearly marked as containing no real credentials. | 92 | Warning |
| **Dependency vulnerabilities** | composer.json uses caret ranges on current Laravel 13 / Inertia 3 packages with no wildcard or 'latest' versions. The digest omits the lock files, so installed versions and known advisories could not be checked. A few 0.x packages (laravel/chisel, laravel/wayfinder) are pre-1.0 and may change without notice. | 80 | Warning |
| **Authorisation & access control** | The digest lists policies, token-ability middleware, tenant-scoped models and password hashing rules, which indicate a deliberate authorization design. The route files and most controller bodies were omitted, so I could not trace each mutating endpoint to a guard or ownership check. The score is capped until that can be confirmed. | 70 | Warning |
| **Database access rules** | The excerpts show a custom tenancy layer (BelongsToTenant global scope, composite uniques, explicit withoutTenancy() calls) and no string-built SQL or unscoped deletes. Only a small part of the code and none of the core migrations were visible, so the score is capped. Several points need buyer verification. | 72 | Warning |
| **Code structure & duplication** | The project separates concerns into dedicated Modules (Blog, POS) with their own routes, services, policies and requests, and the app follows a clear layering (Api controllers delegating to Services). The digest does not show whole-file contents, so the size and duplication judgments are limited to the file tree and the few excerpts available. | 84 | Pass |
| **Build reproducibility** | The Laravel project has a complete composer.json with consistent PHP 8.3+/ Laravel 13 versions. A prebuilt public/build is shipped, and the Node build config lives in a separate folder that must be copied in manually. HowToSetup.md and lockfiles were only partly visible, so some steps could not be verified. | 80 | Warning |

## Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

**Warning 1** **No real credentials found; one weak placeholder DB password in setup docs**

Every regex candidate was reviewed. Almost all are code references to tokens or passwords (variables, validation rules, framework constants), not secrets. The only literal value is a 'change-me' DB password in HowToSetup.md, which is a placeholder. The .env.example is clearly marked as containing no real credentials.

**Warning 2** **Dependencies appear current and caret-pinned; lockfiles not verifiable, a few pre-release 0.x packages**

composer.json uses caret ranges on current Laravel 13 / Inertia 3 packages with no wildcard or 'latest' versions. The digest omits the lock files, so installed versions and known advisories could not be checked. A few 0.x packages (laravel/chisel, laravel/wayfinder) are pre-1.0 and may change without notice.

**Warning 3** **Build mostly reproducible; build config split and unverified setup steps leave gaps**

The Laravel project has a complete composer.json with consistent PHP 8.3+/Laravel 13 versions. A prebuilt public/build is shipped, and the Node build config lives in a separate folder that must be copied in manually. HowToSetup.md and lockfiles were only partly visible, so some steps could not be verified.

**Warning 4** **Tenant scoping looks deliberate, but the digest shows too little SQL and schema to verify it**

The excerpts show a custom tenancy layer (BelongsToTenant global scope, composite uniques, explicit withoutTenancy() calls) and no string-built SQL or unscoped deletes. Only a small part of the code and none of the core migrations were visible, so the score is capped. Several points need buyer verification.

**Warning 5** **Authz design looks sound, but route files and policies were not visible, so coverage is unverified**

The digest lists policies, token-ability middleware, tenant-scoped models and password hashing rules, which indicate a deliberate authorization design. The route files and most controller bodies were omitted, so I could not trace each mutating endpoint to a guard or ownership check. The score is capped until that can be confirmed.

## Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 11 of 23 met.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| ! | Complete source for every part | Partial |
| ! | No real credentials: config files may be present but must contain placeholders or local defaults only | Partial |
| ! | Single example config file: .env.example | Partial |
| ! | Lockfiles for every package manager | Partial |
| ! | Schema creates from empty | Partial |
| ! | HowToSetup.md covering shared hosting, VPS and Docker | Partial |
| ! | Authorisation on every data-changing route | Partial |
| ! | Live demo with sample data | Partial |
| ! | README.md and CLAUDE.md with architecture guidance (nice) | Partial |
| ! | Seed data and CHANGELOG (nice) | Partial |
| ! | docker-compose.yml for the server stack (nice) | Partial |
| ! | Documentation folder (optional) | Partial |
| ✓ | README.md | Met |
| ✓ | HowToSetup.md | Met |
| ✓ | .env.example | Met |
| ✓ | a project file | Met |
| ✓ | Laravel a project file | Met |
| ✓ | React a project file | Met |
| ✓ | CLAUDE.md (nice) | Met |
| ✓ | CHANGELOG.md (nice) | Met |
| ✓ | a project file (nice) | Met |
| ✓ | docker-compose.yml (nice) | Met |
| ✓ | a project file (optional) | Met |

PART 2

# Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

## Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 2 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

| QUESTION | ANSWER | REVIEWER'S NOTE |
|---|---|---|
| **Packages restored without errors** | Yes | |
| **Solution builds clean** | Yes | |
| **Database created from the shipped script or migrations** | Yes | |
| **First sign-in works with the documented steps** | Yes | |
| **Setup guide is accurate and complete** | Yes | |
| **Every module in the feature list opens and works** | N/A | |
| **No real secrets in the archive** | N/A | |
| **Branding and company details can be changed without code** | N/A | |

## Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 4 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

| QUESTION | ANSWER | REVIEWER'S NOTE |
|---|---|---|
| **Demo URL is reachable** | Yes | |
| **Demo credentials work** | Yes | |
| **Demo runs the same version as the archive** | Yes | |
| **All five differentiators are visible in the demo** | Yes | |
| **Screenshots match the demo** | Yes | |
| **Nothing in the demo is missing from the code** | Yes | |
| **Datasheet claims are accurate** | Yes | |

## Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

**Sign-off:** approved for sale on 4 Nov 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

## What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.