


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Hostinkar - Web Hosting, Domain & Billing Platform

Hosting company platform: website, shop, billing, provisioning and support.

Product ID	**B0868-32652**
Builder	**Raziul**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 5 Nov 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 13 with Inertia 3 and Vue 3 · PHP 8.3+ with TypeScript (Vue 3) · MySQL 8 / MariaDB 10.6+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**30 Oct 2026 – 5 Nov 2026 · 1 round of changes**

78 / 100

Passed with 5 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	77
Code structure	88
Docs & completeness	67
Dependency health	70
Build & deploy	80

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 30 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
5 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
5 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
5 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 10 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 77/100 with no failing check.

! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00097. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All regex candidates are placeholders, environment-variable references or PHP code (Password facade, token variables), not real secrets. The .env.example is clean, and the docker-compose.yml uses required env vars. Weak default demo logins (admin@example.com / admin) ship in a project file and the README, which buyers could leave active in production.	88	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The digest only shows part of composer.json and none of the lockfile contents, so no known critical vulnerability can be confirmed. Caret ranges are used for PHP packages (normal), and composer.lock and package-lock.json are said to exist but were omitted. Several dependencies are very new or pre-1.0 (laravel/chisel ^0.1.0, laravel/wayfinder ^0.1.14), which carry stability risk, and the Vite config uses 'vite-plus', an uncommon toolchain.	70	Warning
Authorisation & access control	The digest shows no route files (a project file, client.php, api.php) or policies, so per-route guards could not be traced. The documented design is solid: auth:admin, active-staff and 2FA middleware, a permission middleware on every admin route, client_id policies, hashed API tokens ([hidden]) and Fortify password hashing. A few concrete risks are visible and the demo credentials are wea	72	Warning
Database access rules	The digest shows Eloquent and a query helper (TableQuery) with whitelisted sort columns, and no string-built SQL or unscoped deletes were visible. However, only a small slice of the 1735 files was provided, so raw SQL, migrations, foreign keys and indexes could not be verified. The score is capped until the schema and the services can be reviewed.	72	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project is organised into well-named domain modules (Admin, Billing, Catalog, Client, Api, Ai) with driver contracts and registries, which keeps boundaries readable. The digest shows a few large files and repeated patterns that a buyer will need to navigate, but nothing that looks like copy-pasted modules or dead code shipped in bulk.	88	Pass
Build reproducibility	The project has a coherent Laravel 13 + Vite layout, a compose file that requires explicit DB passwords, and a setup document covering VPS, Docker and shared hosting. A few gaps remain: unverifiable lockfiles and the setup guide, a misleading starter-kit name, and a Vite config using a non-standard toolchain.	80	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No real credentials found; shipped demo logins and a truncated XSRF cookie are the only concerns**

All regex candidates are placeholders, environment-variable references or PHP code (Password facade, token variables), not real secrets. The .env.example is clean, and the docker-compose.yml uses required env vars. Weak default demo logins (admin@example.com / admin) ship in a project file and the README, which buyers could leave active in production.

Warning 2 **Authz design looks sound, but routes and policies were not visible, so coverage is unverified**

The digest shows no route files (a project file, client.php, api.php) or policies, so per-route guards could not be traced. The documented design is solid: auth:admin, active-staff and 2FA middleware, a permission middleware on every admin route, client_id policies, hashed API tokens ([hidden]) and Fortify password hashing. A few concrete risks are visible and the demo credentials are wea

Warning 3 **Manifests present but lockfiles and most dependency versions could not be verified from the digest**

The digest only shows part of composer.json and none of the lockfile contents, so no known critical vulnerability can be confirmed. Caret ranges are used for PHP packages (normal), and composer.lock and package-lock.json are said to exist but were omitted. Several dependencies are very new or pre-1.0 (laravel/chisel ^0.1.0, laravel/wayfinder ^0.1.14), which carry stability risk, and the Vite config uses 'vite-plus', an uncommon toolchain.

Warning 4 **Build mostly reproducible; setup doc and a few manifest details could not be fully verified**

The project has a coherent Laravel 13 + Vite layout, a compose file that requires explicit DB passwords, and a setup document covering VPS, Docker and shared hosting. A few gaps remain: unverifiable lockfiles and the setup guide, a misleading starter-kit name, and a Vite config using a non-standard toolchain.

Warning 5 **Eloquent used throughout; DB layer largely unverified, no injection seen, schema.sql not reviewable**

The digest shows Eloquent and a query helper (TableQuery) with whitelisted sort columns, and no string-built SQL or unscoped deletes were visible. However, only a small slice of the 1735 files was provided, so raw SQL, migrations, foreign keys and indexes could not be verified. The score is capped until the schema and the services can be reviewed.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 10 of 15 met.

!	Complete source for every component	Partial
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
!	Working live demo	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 5 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	N/A	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 5 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 5 Nov 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.