


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

StockMeta AI v8 — AI Metadata, SEO & Prompt Suite for Creators

Chrome extension + WordPress portal: AI metadata for 100 images at once, your own key.

Product ID	**B0577-60046**
Builder	**Abdullah Al Zabir**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 5 Nov 2026**
Stack	**WordPress 6.8+ plugin with no PHP or JS framework dependencies (composer.json and package.json declare none) · PHP 8.3–8.5 (server plugin) and vanilla JavaScript (extension and portal UI, no framework) · MariaDB 10.11+ or MySQL 8.0+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**30 Oct 2026 – 5 Nov 2026 · 1 round of changes**

83 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	83
Code structure	70
Docs & completeness	80
Dependency health	92
Build & deploy	82

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 30 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
5 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
5 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
5 Nov 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 3 of 3 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 12 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 83/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00098. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	Every secret-scan candidate is an environment-variable reference with fail-fast syntax, a JavaScript/PHP variable named 'token', or a test fixture. .env.example ships blank values and the docs state demo logins are never shipped in the archive. The only note is that the demo cookie value in the live probe is a runtime session ID, not a committed secret.	**96**	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	All manifests (root, extension, WordPress plugin) declare empty dependencies and devDependencies, so there is no third-party package surface to carry known CVEs. Lockfiles exist for npm and Composer, and Docker images are pinned to specific patch versions. Minor notes only: the base image pins will need periodic bumping, and the lockfile contents were not shown.	**92**	Pass
Authorisation & access control	The visible code shows layered guards: a token middleware with CSRF nonce, signed-token identity, and installation-ownership checks, plus a secure-origin check and login lockout on the auth routes. The route table, the admin controllers and the stats/jobs/exports handlers were not included in the excerpts, so admin role checks and per-id ownership checks could not be confirmed. A few design choices also deserve attention.	**72**	Warning
Database access rules	The digest shows a documented SQL inventory using $wpdb placeholders (%d, %s) with identifiers taken only from the prefix and fixed lists, and scoped deletes (user_id, day LIMIT). However, schema.sql, class-tsc-stockmeta-schema.php, jobs and the seed file were not included, so foreign keys, indexes and cascade rules are unverified. The score is capped until those files can be reviewed.	**80**	Warning
Code structure & duplication	Checked by our reviewers during the human review.	**70**	Warning
Build reproducibility	Manifests, lockfiles and docker-compose are coherent: PHP 8.3, Node 22, WordPress 6.8 and MariaDB 10.11 versions agree, and required env vars fail fast. HowToSetup.md was not included in the digest, and a few ordering and prerequisite points (build before compose, plugin cloud-bundle contents) depend on documentation we could not see.	**82**	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Build looks reproducible, but HowToSetup.md and build outputs could not be fully verified from the digest**

Manifests, lockfiles and docker-compose are coherent: PHP 8.3, Node 22, WordPress 6.8 and MariaDB 10.11 versions agree, and required env vars fail fast. HowToSetup.md was not included in the digest, and a few ordering and prerequisite points (build before compose, plugin cloud-bundle contents) depend on documentation we could not see.

Warning 2 **SQL access is documented and parameterised, but schema, indexes and several SQL files could not be verified**

The digest shows a documented SQL inventory using $wpdb placeholders (%d, %s) with identifiers taken only from the prefix and fixed lists, and scoped deletes (user_id, day LIMIT). However, schema.sql, class-tsc-stockmeta-schema.php, jobs and the seed file were not included, so foreign keys, indexes and cascade rules are unverified. The score is capped until those files can be reviewed.

Warning 3 **Auth layers look solid, but the full route table is not visible so most endpoints could not be verified**

The visible code shows layered guards: a token middleware with CSRF nonce, signed-token identity, and installation-ownership checks, plus a secure-origin check and login lockout on the auth routes. The route table, the admin controllers and the stats/jobs/exports handlers were not included in the excerpts, so admin role checks and per-id ownership checks could not be confirmed. A few design choices also deserve attention.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 12 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
!	Working live demo	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 5 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	N/A	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	N/A	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	N/A	
No real secrets in the archive	N/A	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	N/A	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 5 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 5 Nov 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.