


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Xmanager - All-in-one back office operations manager

Multi-tenant double-entry accounting and operations platform for small business owners and bookkeepers

Product ID	**B0656-97672**
Builder	**Md Jefranul Islam Rakib**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 6 Nov 2026**
Stack	**TanStack Start 1.169 + React 19 · TypeScript 5.8 · PostgreSQL 14+ (Supabase)**
Built with	**Claude Code, Lovable**
Review period	**25 Oct 2026 – 6 Nov 2026 · 2 rounds of changes**

77 / 100

Listed after a manual review

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	77
Code structure	72
Docs & completeness	87
Dependency health	85
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 23 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Nov 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 13 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 77/100.
- ! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00105. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	The database seed file contains a demo account password ([hidden]) which is acceptable for seeding but should be documented as needing change in production. All .env files are examples with placeholders. No real API keys, private keys, or production credentials were found. The Bearer token extraction code is standard auth middleware, not a hardcoded secret.	**95**	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	a project file has a lockfile (package-lock.json) with no known critical vulnerabilities in the declared dependencies. Several packages are outdated (e.g., @supabase/supabase-js 2.105.4 vs. latest 2.47+, jspdf 4.2.1 is very old). The database/ folder has schema.sql and seed.sql but no dependency manifest—acceptable for raw SQL. Bun.lock is present but not analyzed (Bun runtime). Overall safe for production with routine updates recommended.	**85**	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Minor points were sent to the builder to improve; none of them stopped the listing.	**45**	Fail
Database access rules	All queries use Supabase client with parameterized calls—no SQL injection risk. Row-level security policies enforce multi-tenant isolation. Schema is complete and can be created from empty. Findings: several foreign key columns lack indexes (e.g. journal_lines.account_id, invoices.customer_id), which will hurt performance under load. No unscoped deletes or updates found.	**92**	Warning
Code structure & duplication	Module boundaries are generally sound—business logic (accounting.ts, sales.ts, purchases.ts) is cleanly separated from routes and components, and Supabase integration is properly isolated. However, several UI components are large and contain repeated form/table patterns, and some hard-coded values (e.g., trial period, Stripe price ID) should be centralized. No dead code detected, but refactoring would improve maintainability.	**72**	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project can build (npm ci && npm run build works), but HowToSetup.md omits critical Supabase configuration steps: no instructions for creating the database, applying migrations, or seeding demo data. The setup document jumps directly to 'set env vars' without explaining how to obtain them or initialize the schema. Docker setup references supabase/migrations/ but doesn't document the migration application order or how to run seed.sql.	**72**	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Database access is safe; minor schema gaps (missing indexes on some foreign keys)**

All queries use Supabase client with parameterized calls—no SQL injection risk. Row-level security policies enforce multi-tenant isolation. Schema is complete and can be created from empty. Findings: several foreign key columns lack indexes (e.g. journal_lines.account_id, invoices.customer_id), which will hurt performance under load. No unscoped deletes or updates found.

Warning 2 **Demo credentials in seed file; no real secrets found but weak defaults present**

The database seed file contains a demo account password ([hidden]) which is acceptable for seeding but should be documented as needing change in production. All .env files are examples with placeholders. No real API keys, private keys, or production credentials were found. The Bearer token extraction code is standard auth middleware, not a hardcoded secret.

Warning 3 **No critical vulnerabilities; some outdated packages and missing lockfile for database**

a project file has a lockfile (package-lock.json) with no known critical vulnerabilities in the declared dependencies. Several packages are outdated (e.g., @supabase/supabase-js 2.105.4 vs. latest 2.47+, jspdf 4.2.1 is very old). The database/ folder has schema.sql and seed.sql but no dependency manifest—acceptable for raw SQL. Bun.lock is present but not analyzed (Bun runtime). Overall safe for production with routine updates recommended.

Warning 4 **Moderate duplication and some oversized components; business logic well-separated but UI layer has repeated patterns.**

Module boundaries are generally sound—business logic (accounting.ts, sales.ts, purchases.ts) is cleanly separated from routes and components, and Supabase integration is properly isolated. However, several UI components are large and contain repeated form/table patterns, and some hard-coded values (e.g., trial period, Stripe price ID) should be centralized. No dead code detected, but refactoring would improve maintainability.

Warning 5 **Build reproducible with gaps: missing Supabase setup steps, unclear migration order**

The project can build (npm ci && npm run build works), but HowToSetup.md omits critical Supabase configuration steps: no instructions for creating the database, applying migrations, or seeding demo data. The setup document jumps directly to 'set env vars' without explaining how to obtain them or initialize the schema. Docker setup references supabase/migrations/ but doesn't document the migration application order or how to run seed.sql.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 13 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
✓	Builds from a clean machine	Met
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Nov 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.