


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

CliniCare — Clinic Management SaaS

Multi-tenant clinic management web app for small and mid-sized private clinics, built on React and Supabase.

Product ID	**B0308-87960**
Builder	**Jehan Ahmed**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 6 Nov 2026**
Stack	**React 18.3.1 + Vite 6.4 + Tailwind CSS 4.3 (shadcn-style UI) · TypeScript 5.9 (React 18.3 front end) · PostgreSQL via Supabase (minimum version not stated in the digest)**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**4 Nov 2026 – 6 Nov 2026**

74 / 100

Listed after a manual review

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	**70**
Code structure	**72**
Docs & completeness	**55**
Dependency health	**82**
Build & deploy	**82**

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 4 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 12 of 22 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

! Security scored 70/100 with no failing check.

! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00106. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	The scan found no real API keys, private keys, or connection strings, and .env.example ships blank. The only candidates are fictional demo-mode logins on .test domains, which are public in the live demo bundle and gate no backend. The one remaining regex hit is a UI token generator, not a secret.	**90**	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	The only manifest is package.json, and package-lock.json is present. Every dependency is pinned to an exact version with no wildcards, ranges, or pre-release builds. The lockfile contents were not available for review, so transitive vulnerabilities could not be checked, and Vite 5.4 and React 18 are behind current majors.	**82**	Pass
Authorisation & access control	This is a client-only Vite SPA with no server routes. Access control rests on a project file and Supabase RLS (sql/migrations, a project file), and neither was included in the excerpts. The docs claim sign-in, role and clinic-scope checks on every write, but that claim could not be confirmed from the code shown.	**60**	Warning
Database access rules	The digest shows no SQL, migrations, or a project file contents, only file names and documentation claims. Documentation says every write checks sign-in, role and clinic scope, with RLS as the backstop, but none of this could be verified. A score above 60 would need evidence of scoped queries, foreign keys and indexes, so this is capped at a cautious warning.	**60**	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project separates landing, auth, onboarding, demo, lib and UI components cleanly, and the API layer is isolated from views. However, the demo feature sprawls across many files, and the demo store core is a large mixed-concern file, with some hard-coded fictional data and seed values embedded in components. The buyer can work with it, but the structure will be harder to maintain than it needs to be.	**72**	Warning
Build reproducibility	package.json pins exact dependency versions, declares engines (Node >=20, npm >=10), has build/dev/preview scripts, and ships a lockfile and a blank .env.example. The live demo shows a built Vite bundle served from Netlify, so the build evidently succeeds. Gaps: duplicate SQL sources, an unlisted Supabase function setup, a missing tsconfig verification, and an unverified setup document.	**82**	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No real credentials found; demo login passwords ship as weak defaults in client code**

The scan found no real API keys, private keys, or connection strings, and .env.example ships blank. The only candidates are fictional demo-mode logins on .test domains, which are public in the live demo bundle and gate no backend. The one remaining regex hit is a UI token generator, not a secret.

Warning 2 **Feature-based layout is sound, but the demo feature is split across 28 files and some stores/files are large**

The project separates landing, auth, onboarding, demo, lib and UI components cleanly, and the API layer is isolated from views. However, the demo feature sprawls across many files, and the demo store core is a large mixed-concern file, with some hard-coded fictional data and seed values embedded in components. The buyer can work with it, but the structure will be harder to maintain than it needs to be.

Warning 3 **SQL and API code not provided for review; database rules cannot be confirmed from the excerpts**

The digest shows no SQL, migrations, or a project file contents, only file names and documentation claims. Documentation says every write checks sign-in, role and clinic scope, with RLS as the backstop, but none of this could be verified. A score above 60 would need evidence of scoped queries, foreign keys and indexes, so this is capped at a cautious warning.

Warning 4 **Authz cannot be verified: api.ts, SQL/RLS and edge function not shown; demo role gates are UI-only**

This is a client-only Vite SPA with no server routes. Access control rests on a project file and Supabase RLS (sql/migrations, a project file), and neither was included in the excerpts. The docs claim sign-in, role and clinic-scope checks on every write, but that claim could not be confirmed from the code shown.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 12 of 22 met.

!	Complete web app source	Partial
✓	No real credentials	Met
!	Exactly one example env file	Partial
✓	Pinned lockfile	Met
!	Database schema creates from empty	Partial
!	Row Level Security on every table	Partial
!	HowToSetup.md with two targets	Partial
!	Reachable demo with sample data	Partial
!	README with features and licence note (nice)	Partial
!	CLAUDE.md architecture guide (nice)	Partial
!	CHANGELOG starting at 1.0.0 (nice)	Partial
!	Seed data (nice)	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	React Web a project filee	Met
✓	React Web a project file	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	LICENSE-NOTE.md (optional)	Met
✓	docs/ (optional)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Nov 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.