


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Furnivo

Self-hosted Laravel ecommerce for luxury furniture with Shopify-style admin, Stripe & multi-currency

Product ID	**B0001-65228**
Builder	**Buildify**
Version reviewed	**1.4.0 · released 6 Nov 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 12.69 · PHP 8.2 · MySQL 8.0**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**6 Nov 2026 – 6 Nov 2026**

87 / 100

Passed with 2 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	87
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	87
Dependency health	100
Build & deploy	80

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 6 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
6 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
6 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 13 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 87/100 with no failing check.

! 2 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00108. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	All secret-scan candidates are code (Password:[hidden], token variables, config() lookups) or generated at runtime, not committed values. The .env.example files use empty placeholders for APP_KEY, ADMIN_PASSWORD, Stripe, Aramex and reCAPTCHA. A few small points deserve a check: the demo password config, the [hidden] image in the tour file, and the truncated parts of the digest	92	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	Both composer.lock and package-lock.json are present with exact versions pinned. The secret scan confirms 0 vulnerabilities reported in a project file. All production dependencies use stable releases with no wildcards or pre-release versions.	100	Pass
Authorisation & access control	The admin area uses a separate guard, a deny-by-default area map enforced by middleware, and a route-coverage test. The Backup controller adds its own Owner check on top, and passwords are hashed. The digest does not include a project file, the storefront routes or the order/return controllers, so ownership checks on id lookups could not be traced and the score is capped slightly below 100.	90	Pass
Database access rules	In the files provided, queries use fixed periods, validated inputs and route constraints, and nothing is concatenated from user input. However, the migrations, seeders, schema.sql, models and the traffic/backup services were not included, so foreign keys, indexes and raw SQL elsewhere could not be verified. The score is capped until those can be reviewed.	80	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The digest shows a clear layout: services, actions, requests, and enums are split by concern, and the thin controllers delegate to services. The main structural weakness is the Owner-only check written as an inline middleware closure and repeated across controllers, plus a few hard-coded values that belong in config.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	The project has committed lockfiles, pinned framework versions (Laravel 12.69.2, PHP 8.2+ platform), a Docker quick start and a README that points to HowToSetup.md. HowToSetup.md, docker-compose.yml and composer.json were not shown in full, so the step order, prerequisites and database creation could not be confirmed. A few small inconsistencies and gaps lower the score.	80	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Build looks reproducible, but HowToSetup.md and some listed files could not be verified from the digest**

The project has committed lockfiles, pinned framework versions (Laravel 12.69.2, PHP 8.2+ platform), a Docker quick start and a README that points to HowToSetup.md. HowToSetup.md, docker-compose.yml and composer.json were not shown in full, so the step order, prerequisites and database creation could not be confirmed. A few small inconsistencies and gaps lower the score.

Warning 2 **No injection or unscoped deletes visible; schema and key query files were not in the excerpts**

In the files provided, queries use fixed periods, validated inputs and route constraints, and nothing is concatenated from user input. However, the migrations, seeders, schema.sql, models and the traffic/backup services were not included, so foreign keys, indexes and raw SQL elsewhere could not be verified. The score is capped until those can be reviewed.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 13 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
!	No real credentials in the archive	Partial
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
✓	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Met
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
✓	Working live demo	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 6 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 6 Nov 2026 with 2 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.4.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00108	1.4.0	6 Nov 2026	**87**	Current
QR-26-00019	1.2.0	6 Oct 2026	**94**	Replaced
QR-26-00002	1.0.0	4 Oct 2026	**93**	Replaced