

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Look Fashion

Complete fashion e-commerce platform with bilingual storefront and Shopify-style admin for clothing retailers

Product ID	**B0002-47813**
Builder	**Buildify**
Version reviewed	**1.9.0 · released 11 Nov 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 12.69 · PHP 8.2+ · MySQL 8 / MariaDB 10.11**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**10 Nov 2026 – 11 Nov 2026**

81 / 100

Passed with 4 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	84
Code structure	88
Docs & completeness	80
Dependency health	70
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 10 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
11 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
11 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
11 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 12 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 84/100 with no failing check.

! 4 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00114. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	Every regex candidate in the digest is a variable assignment, a validation pattern, a Laravel Password/token call or a test fixture, not a real credential. The .env.example uses empty placeholders and no committed .env is visible. The digest was truncated, so the unseen files (about 217 changed files, config and deploy files) are not fully verified.	90	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	composer.json and composer.lock exist (the lock is 306 KB) and were changed since the last audit. Their contents were not in the digest, so I could not verify installed versions, advisories or version ranges. No package.json or package-lock.json is listed even though the project mentions a Vite front-end build, and DEPENDENCIES.md and the CI workflow were deleted.	70	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Of the files shown, the address book scopes every lookup to the signed-in customer, the CSV import uses UUID tokens on a private disk, and the payment webhook verifies with the provider before marking paid. Routes, admin middleware, the Policies and ReturnController/TrackOrderController/ PaymentReturnController were not provided, so admin role checks and several id lookups could not be verified.	80	Warning
Database access rules	The visible controllers use Eloquent with bound parameters and correct ownership scoping (addresses scoped by user_id, orders reached by a 48-character token). The migrations and the changed DB-touching files (Cleanup, Search, CartService, DemoDataRun, DemoHistory) were not in the digest, so the schema checks for foreign keys, indexes and cascades and the raw-SQL checks could not be done. The score is held below 90 for that reason and for a few minor query issues.	82	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project is organised into focused Actions, Services, Requests and Controllers, and CLAUDE.md documents a 300-line class limit enforced by a CodeRulesTest. The visible excerpts show no god files or copy-pasted modules, so the structure check is strong.	88	Pass
Build reproducibility	The digest shows a standard Laravel 12 layout with composer.json, composer.lock, .nvmrc, .env.example and HowToSetup.md. However, the contents of composer.json, package.json, HowToSetup.md and the nginx/docker configs were not provided, so reproducibility from a clean machine could not be confirmed. Several files changed since the last audit (CI workflow and DEPENDENCIES.md deleted), which reduces visible build documentation.	72	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Lockfile present, but composer.json and composer.lock contents were not visible, so no versions could be checked**

composer.json and composer.lock exist (the lock is 306 KB) and were changed since the last audit. Their contents were not in the digest, so I could not verify installed versions, advisories or version ranges. No package.json or package-lock.json is listed even though the project mentions a Vite front-end build, and DEPENDENCIES.md and the CI workflow were deleted.

Warning 2 **No injection or unscoped deletes in visible code; migrations and several changed DB files were not available for review**

The visible controllers use Eloquent with bound parameters and correct ownership scoping (addresses scoped by user_id, orders reached by a 48-character token). The migrations and the changed DB-touching files (Cleanup, Search, CartService, DemoDataRun, DemoHistory) were not in the digest, so the schema checks for foreign keys, indexes and cascades and the raw-SQL checks could not be done. The score is held below 90 for that reason and for a few minor query issues.

Warning 3 **Visible code is well guarded; webhook, guest order links and unseen routes limit confidence**

Of the files shown, the address book scopes every lookup to the signed-in customer, the CSV import uses UUID tokens on a private disk, and the payment webhook verifies with the provider before marking paid. Routes, admin middleware, the Policies and ReturnController/TrackOrderController/PaymentReturnController were not provided, so admin role checks and several id lookups could not be verified.

Warning 4 **Likely buildable, but key build files could not be verified and CI/dependency docs were removed**

The digest shows a standard Laravel 12 layout with composer.json, composer.lock, .nvmrc, .env.example and HowToSetup.md. However, the contents of composer.json, package.json, HowToSetup.md and the nginx/docker configs were not provided, so reproducibility from a clean machine could not be confirmed. Several files changed since the last audit (CI workflow and DEPENDENCIES.md deleted), which reduces visible build documentation.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 12 of 15 met.

✓	Complete source for every component	Met
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
✓	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Met
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
!	Working live demo	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 11 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 11 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 11 Nov 2026 with 4 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.9.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.

Report history

REPORT	VERSION	DATE	SCORE	STATUS
QR-26-00114	1.9.0	11 Nov 2026	**81**	Current
QR-26-00029	1.0.0	7 Oct 2026	**84**	Replaced