


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Axioz ERP

Multi-tenant Laravel and React ERP/SaaS platform: super admin sells plans to companies running 32 business modules.

Product ID	**B0842-86888**
Builder	**Md Sajjad Hossain**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 12 Nov 2026**
Stack	**Laravel 13.40 with Inertia 3 and React 19 · PHP 8.4+ (TypeScript 5.9 frontend) · MySQL 8.0+**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**10 Nov 2026 – 12 Nov 2026**

81 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	81
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	84
Dependency health	82
Build & deploy	80

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 9 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
12 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
12 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
12 Nov 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 21 of 25 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 81/100 with no failing check.
- ! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00126. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	Every regex candidate is a placeholder, a generated-at-install value, a code reference to a stored setting, or a test fixture. .env.example keeps APP_KEY and all secrets empty, and the Makefile generates random DB passwords with openssl. The 4.5 MB seed.sql and the demo login values were not visible in the digest and should be checked before release.	92	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	composer.json and package.json use caret ranges with no wildcards or 'latest'. README and a project file claim composer.lock (171 packages) and package-lock.json (639) exist with zero known vulnerabilities, but lockfiles were omitted from the digest, so the installed versions could not be checked. A few dev-tooling and bleeding-edge major choices deserve a note.	82	Pass
Authorisation & access control	The visible code and docs describe a well-structured authorization model: permission checks in controllers and form requests, plan checks via middleware, tenant scoping via a global trait, and Hash::make for passwords. However, the route files (web.php, api.php, module routes) and nearly all controllers were not provided, so I could not trace individual endpoints. Score reflects the evidence of a mature approach, discounted for unverified coverage.	78	Warning
Database access rules	The project documents a tenant-scoped ORM layer (TenantScoped trait), a schema.sql with primary keys, 714 foreign keys and indexed tenant columns, and a raw-query audit. The digest, however, does not include any controller, model or migration source that touches the database, so these claims could not be checked. The score is capped until the queries can be reviewed.	72	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project separates core code from 32 modules under Modules/, with per-module routes, pages and permission seeders, which is easy to follow. The digest does not show file sizes for the largest controllers, so oversized-file and duplication claims cannot be fully verified, and the 181 extractor classes in the Webhook module suggest repeated patterns worth consolidating.	84	Warning
Build reproducibility	The project ships composer.json, package.json, lockfiles (per README), .env.example, schema.sql, a Makefile and HowToSetup.md, and excludes vendor, node_modules and public/build. The digest was truncated, so HowToSetup.md step order, docker-compose coherence and the a project file referenced by `make package` could not be verified. A few small inconsistencies are noted below.	80	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **Modular layout is clear; some oversized files and repeated module scaffolding cost points**

The project separates core code from 32 modules under Modules/, with per-module routes, pages and permission seeders, which is easy to follow. The digest does not show file sizes for the largest controllers, so oversized-file and duplication claims cannot be fully verified, and the 181 extractor classes in the Webhook module suggest repeated patterns worth consolidating.

Warning 2 **Authz claims are strong but unverifiable: route files and most controllers were not in the excerpt**

The visible code and docs describe a well-structured authorization model: permission checks in controllers and form requests, plan checks via middleware, tenant scoping via a global trait, and Hash::make for passwords. However, the route files (web.php, api.php, module routes) and nearly all controllers were not provided, so I could not trace individual endpoints. Score reflects the evidence of a mature approach, discounted for unverified coverage.

Warning 3 **DB layer looks well-designed on paper, but the digest gives no query-level proof, so the score is capped**

The project documents a tenant-scoped ORM layer (TenantScoped trait), a schema.sql with primary keys, 714 foreign keys and indexed tenant columns, and a raw-query audit. The digest, however, does not include any controller, model or migration source that touches the database, so these claims could not be checked. The score is capped until the queries can be reviewed.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 21 of 25 met.

✓	Complete source for every part	Met
✓	No real credentials	Met
!	Lockfiles and pinned dependencies	Partial
✓	Schema creates from empty	Met
!	Tenant isolation and role checks	Partial
✓	Parameterised queries and scoped deletes	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md with at least two targets	Met
!	Reachable demo with working credentials	Partial
!	README.md and licence note	Partial
✓	CLAUDE.md or AGENTS.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md starting at 1.0.0 (nice)	Met
✓	Seed data (nice)	Met
✓	Docker and docs (optional)	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	Laravel a project filee	Met
✓	Laravel a project file	Met
✓	Laravel a project file	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose.yml (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (optional)	Met
✓	LICENSE-NOTE.md (optional)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 12 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 12 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 12 Nov 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.