


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

FrontDesk AI

Self-hosted AI receptionist that answers FAQs and books appointments via web chat for clinics, salons and service firms.

Product ID	**B0639-75122**
Builder	**Syed Abue Tayeb Al-Zeyed**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 13 Nov 2026**
Stack	**FastAPI 0.162 with Uvicorn 0.54 · Python 3.12 (python:3.12.7-slim image) · SQLite file via SQLAlchemy 2.0.57 (SQLite version not pinned)**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**7 Nov 2026 – 13 Nov 2026**

85 / 100

Passed with 1 warning

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	87
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	58
Dependency health	82
Build & deploy	82

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 7 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
13 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
13 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
13 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 14 of 24 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 87/100 with no failing check.

! 1 open warning — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00134. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	Every regex candidate is either an empty env interpolation, a placeholder, a runtime-generated session/CSRF token, or a test variable. Both .env.example files have blank values, and the app refuses to start without a SECRET_KEY. The only note is that the digest was truncated, so the remaining files were not inspected.	96	Pass
Dependency vulnerabilities	The project ships FrontDesk a project file with exact pins, plus a requirements.lock that the Dockerfile installs with --require-hashes. The lockfile's contents were not in the digest, so I could not verify it. Several pinned versions (fastapi 0.162.0, uvicorn 0.54.0, cryptography 50.0.2, pydantic 2.14.3) are beyond what I can confirm and should be checked against the index. I know of no critical vulnerability in the packages I can identify.	82	Pass
Authorisation & access control	All admin routers sit behind a router-level require_admin dependency, and mutating handlers also verify CSRF. Passwords use bcrypt, setup needs a token, and public chat conversation IDs are bound to a session token. A few low-risk gaps remain, such as unchecked ownership on some demo-mode reads and files not visible in the digest.	88	Pass
Database access rules	The visible routes use SQLAlchemy ORM with parameterised queries, escaped LIKE terms and primary-key-scoped deletes, with no string-built SQL or injection path seen. However, a project file, models.py, database.py and web.py were not included in the digest, so foreign keys, indexes, cascades and the creation-from-empty requirement could not be confirmed. A few unbounded queries and a settings-table design add minor concerns.	78	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The app is organized into sensible feature modules (routes/admin split by dashboard, knowledge, settings, appointments, conversations; app/ split by security, auth, crypto, demo). Routers are small and readable. The main drawbacks are repeated guard boilerplate and some dense one-line handlers and duplicated pagination/demo checks.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	The root Dockerfile, hashed requirements.lock, render.yaml and compose file form a coherent, documented path, and the live demo confirms the image builds and starts. Remaining gaps are minor: the declared stack is empty, runtime versions are not verifiably aligned, and the Go-based test helper needs an offline toolchain.	82	Pass

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 ORM-only access with escaped LIKE and scoped deletes; schema, FKs and indexes not verifiable from digest

The visible routes use SQLAlchemy ORM with parameterised queries, escaped LIKE terms and primary-key-scoped deletes, with no string-built SQL or injection path seen. However, a project file, models.py, database.py and web.py were not included in the digest, so foreign keys, indexes, cascades and the creation-from-empty requirement could not be confirmed. A few unbounded queries and a settings-table design add minor concerns.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 14 of 24 met.

!	Complete source of every part	Partial
✓	No real credentials	Met

!	Single example config file	Partial
!	SQLite schema creates from empty	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies with lockfile	Partial
!	Authorisation on every write route	Partial
!	HowToSetup.md with two targets	Partial
!	Working demo	Partial
!	README and licence note	Partial
✓	CLAUDE.md for AI coding tools (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG starting at 1.0.0 (nice)	Met
!	Seed data (nice)	Partial
✓	Docker support (nice)	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	requirements.txt	Met
✓	requirements.lock	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose.yml (nice)	Met
!	a project file (optional)	Missing

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 13 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	N/A	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 13 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 13 Nov 2026 with 1 warning shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.