


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Sitepulse

Self-hosted website analytics with live view, visitor profiles and chat, for teams on IIS or Docker with SQL Server.

Product ID	**B0006-25310**
Builder	**Build Nest**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 17 Nov 2026**
Stack	**ASP.NET Core 10 MVC with Entity Framework Core 10, Razor views and Tailwind CSS 4 · C# / .NET 10 (ASP.NET Core 10 MVC) · Microsoft SQL Server 2016 SP1 or later (Express edition supported)**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**17 Nov 2026 – 17 Nov 2026**

77 / 100

Passed with 5 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	77
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	79
Dependency health	80
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 17 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
17 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
17 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
17 Nov 2026

At a glance

- ✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.
- ✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.
- ! 19 of 24 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).
- ✓ Security scored 77/100 with no failing check.
- ! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00144. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No API keys, private keys, SMTP passwords or live connection strings were found. The connection string uses Windows trusted auth, and SMTP and DataProtection settings are empty. The demo account password is published in the README, seed SQL and database docs, and the dev defaults enable it, so a buyer who forgets to harden it ships a known login (including Super Admin).	80	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	Every manifest has a lockfile (NuGet packages.lock.json per project, plus npm package-lock.json for the tracker and the web project). The e2e test project has no lockfile in the digest. The lockfile contents were not shown, so I could not confirm installed versions or check them against advisories, and no known critical vulnerability is evident.	80	Warning
Authorisation & access control	The only controller shown (AuthController) uses a class-level [Authorize] with explicit [AllowAnonymous] opt-outs, rate limiting on login, Identity-based password hashing and lockout. The remaining controllers, the collector minimal-API endpoints and the ownership checks on id lookups could not be verified from the digest, and the shipped demo credentials with super-admin rights are a risk if left enabled.	70	Warning
Database access rules	The project says it uses EF Core LINQ with no string-built SQL. The digest shows no raw SQL or injection path, and the schema is scripted from empty with tests that compare it to the EF model. I could not read the repositories, the SQL scripts or the maintenance procedures, so tenant scoping, foreign-key indexes and the purge procedures remain unverified.	80	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project follows a documented layering model (Domain, Application, Infrastructure, Web, Collector) with feature folders and a stated rule against business logic in controllers. The digest shows no god files or copy-pasted modules, though some demo and configuration values appear hard-coded and the full file tree could not be checked for file size.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	The solution layout, central package management, lockfiles, global.json and a Dockerfile are present, and docs describe the tracker and CSS builds. However, HowToSetup.md, the Dockerfile, the csproj files and the tests/ folder were not visible, so prerequisites, step order and the existence of referenced projects could not be confirmed.	72	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No real credentials found; published demo password and weak test defaults ship to buyers**

No API keys, private keys, SMTP passwords or live connection strings were found. The connection string uses Windows trusted auth, and SMTP and DataProtection settings are empty. The demo account password is published in the README, seed SQL and database docs, and the dev defaults enable it, so a buyer who forgets to harden it ships a known login (including Super Admin).

Warning 2 **Lockfiles present for all manifests; npm ranges are caret-based and package contents could not be verified**

Every manifest has a lockfile (NuGet packages.lock.json per project, plus npm package-lock.json for the tracker and the web project). The e2e test project has no lockfile in the digest. The lockfile contents were not shown, so I could not confirm installed versions or check them against advisories, and no known critical vulnerability is evident.

Warning 3 **EF Core LINQ only by design, but SQL and schema files were not available to verify scoping or injection**

The project says it uses EF Core LINQ with no string-built SQL. The digest shows no raw SQL or injection path, and the schema is scripted from empty with tests that compare it to the EF model. I could not read the repositories, the SQL scripts or the maintenance procedures, so tenant scoping, foreign-key indexes and the purge procedures remain unverified.

Warning 4 **AuthController well guarded; most controllers not visible, demo credentials and public collector need review**

The only controller shown (AuthController) uses a class-level [Authorize] with explicit [AllowAnonymous] opt-outs, rate limiting on login, Identity-based password hashing and lockout. The remaining controllers, the collector minimal-API endpoints and the ownership checks on id lookups could not be verified from the digest, and the shipped demo credentials with super-admin rights are a risk if left enabled.

Warning 5 **Build mostly reproducible; key setup and test files could not be verified from the digest**

The solution layout, central package management, lockfiles, global.json and a Dockerfile are present, and docs describe the tracker and CSS builds. However, HowToSetup.md, the Dockerfile, the csproj files and the tests/ folder were not visible, so prerequisites, step order and the existence of referenced projects could not be confirmed.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 19 of 24 met.

!	Complete source for every part	Partial
✓	No real credentials	Met
✓	Exactly one example config file	Met
!	Database creates from empty	Partial
!	HowToSetup.md for two targets	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies with lockfile	Partial
!	Role-gated admin and per-site data isolation	Partial
✓	Working live demo with sample data	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md for AI coding tools (nice)	Met
✓	Seed data and CHANGELOG (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose for SQL Server and the app (nice)	Met
✓	Architecture docs (optional)	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	NET a project file	Met
✓	NET a project file	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	JS Tracker/	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met

✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose.yml (nice)	Met
✓	LICENSE-NOTE.md	Met
✓	docs/ (optional)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 17 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 17 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 17 Nov 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.