


VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

Portfolio or Branding Website with Full CMS (Drag and Drop System) for Developers, Entrepreneur, Startup & Designers

Next.js 16 company and portfolio site with a built-in /cms admin and 22-block drag-and-drop page builder.

Product ID	**B0097-87306**
Builder	**MD FAHIM**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 20 Nov 2026**
Stack	**Next.js 16 (App Router) with React 19 · TypeScript 6 on Node.js 22.12+ · PostgreSQL 15+ (Prisma 7, driver adapter @prisma/adapter-pg)**
Built with	**Claude Code, Google Antigravity**
Review period	**8 Nov 2026 – 20 Nov 2026 · 2 rounds of changes**

76 / 100

Passed with 5 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	77
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	67
Dependency health	72
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 8 Nov 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
19 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
20 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
20 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 10 of 15 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 77/100 with no failing check.

! 5 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00150. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	Every regex candidate is either a placeholder, an environment variable reference or ordinary code handling secrets at runtime. No live API keys, private keys or real connection strings were found in the digest. A few weak, predictable defaults (demo user password, local Postgres password) ship to buyers and should be hardened or clearly flagged.	88	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	A pnpm-lock.yaml (297 KB) is committed and CLAUDE.md prescribes `pnpm install --frozen-lockfile`, which is good practice. However, package.json and the lockfile contents were not included in the digest, so installed versions could not be checked against advisories. The stack (Next.js 16, Prisma 7, next-auth@5, which is still a beta/pre-release line) carries pre-release and pin-range risk that the buyer should verify.	72	Warning
Authorisation & access control	Documentation describes capability-based RBAC with authorize()/ requirePermission() on every write and CMS page, ownership scoping on collections, and Auth.js with hashed passwords. The digest did not include any route handlers, server actions, guards or rbac.ts, so these claims could not be traced and are not confirmed. Several visible items (edge proxy cookie-only check, public media file route, default demo credentials) need verification or tightening.	70	Warning
Database access rules	The project says it uses Prisma query methods only, with raw SQL limited to tagged templates, soft deletes and role-gated deletes by id. The digest shows none of the actual queries, schema.prisma or migration SQL, so no injection or unscoped delete was confirmed. Migration timestamps and the committed seed data are concerns a buyer would notice.	72	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The digest shows a clear layered layout: blocks, CMS modules, server actions, auth guards and storage adapters are separated, and CLAUDE.md documents the boundaries. The main structural costs are very large seed scripts and a committed 1.1 MB SQL dump duplicating the seed data, which a buyer will have to maintain.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	The digest shows a coherent pnpm/Prisma/Docker setup with lockfile, migrations, entrypoints and documented env vars. However, the package.json, Dockerfile, HowToSetup.md body and pnpm-workspace.yaml were not visible, and generated storage media and a large seed.mjs are committed, so a clean-machine build cannot be fully confirmed.	72	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No real credentials found; weak default demo/DB passwords ship in example and seed files**

Every regex candidate is either a placeholder, an environment variable reference or ordinary code handling secrets at runtime. No live API keys, private keys or real connection strings were found in the digest. A few weak, predictable defaults (demo user password, local Postgres password) ship to buyers and should be hardened or clearly flagged.

Warning 2 **Lockfile present, but package.json was not provided so no version-level audit was possible**

A pnpm-lock.yaml (297 KB) is committed and CLAUDE.md prescribes `pnpm install --frozen-lockfile`, which is good practice. However, package.json and the lockfile contents were not included in the digest, so installed versions could not be checked against advisories. The stack (Next.js 16, Prisma 7, next-auth@5, which is still a beta/pre-release line) carries pre-release and pin-range risk that the buyer should verify.

Warning 3 **Authz design looks sound, but handler code was not visible, so key guards are unverified**

Documentation describes capability-based RBAC with authorize()/requirePermission() on every write and CMS page, ownership scoping on collections, and Auth.js with hashed passwords. The digest did not include any route handlers, server actions, guards or rbac.ts, so these claims could not be traced and are not confirmed. Several visible items (edge proxy cookie-only check, public media file route, default demo credentials) need verification or tightening.

Warning 4 **Build looks mostly reproducible, but key manifests were not visible and some artifacts are committed**

The digest shows a coherent pnpm/Prisma/Docker setup with lockfile, migrations, entrypoints and documented env vars. However, the package.json, Dockerfile, HowToSetup.md body and pnpm-workspace.yaml were not visible, and generated storage media and a large seed.mjs are committed, so a clean-machine build cannot be fully confirmed.

Warning 5 **Prisma-only access looks sound, but schema and migrations could not be fully verified**

The project says it uses Prisma query methods only, with raw SQL limited to tagged templates, soft deletes and role-gated deletes by id. The digest shows none of the actual queries, schema.prisma or migration SQL, so no injection or unscoped delete was confirmed. Migration timestamps and the committed seed data are concerns a buyer would notice.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 10 of 15 met.

!	Complete source for every component	Partial
✓	No real credentials in the archive	Met
!	Builds from a clean machine	Partial
✓	Database script or migrations	Met
!	Authentication and roles on every mutating endpoint	Partial
!	Pinned dependencies without critical CVEs (nice)	Partial
✓	Documentation set (nice)	Met
!	Working live demo	Partial
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (or AGENTS.md) (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	.env.example	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 19 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 20 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

Round 1: changes requested on 13 Nov 2026; the builder fixed them and uploaded again.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 20 Nov 2026 with 5 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.