

VIBE96 QUALITY REPORT

QuickBlog

Bilingual blogging platform with an author CMS, AI drafting and analytics, for publishers and small publications.

Product ID	**B0840-55537**
Builder	**Bismillah Studio**
Version reviewed	**1.0.0 · released 27 Nov 2026**
Stack	**React 19 + Vite 6 (client); Express 5 (API) · JavaScript (ES modules) on Node.js 22 · MongoDB 7.0 (via Mongoose 8)**
Built with	**Claude Code**
Review period	**29 Oct 2026 – 27 Nov 2026 · 1 round of changes**

81 / 100

Passed with 3 warnings

Pass mark 75 and no failing check.

Scores

Security	83
Code structure	84
Docs & completeness	80
Dependency health	82
Build & deploy	72

✓ **AI code audit**
6 checks · 29 Oct 2026

✓ **Installed & run**
24 Nov 2026

✓ **Demo vs delivered**
27 Nov 2026

✓ **Final sign-off**
27 Nov 2026

At a glance

✓ Installed and run from the delivered code — 8 of 8 checks answered yes.

✓ Live demo compared with the delivered code — 7 of 7 checks answered yes.

! 20 of 25 promised items delivered (setup guide, example config and the rest).

✓ Security scored 83/100 with no failing check.

! 3 open warnings — explained in part 1.

Check this report is genuine. Scan the code or open vibe96.com/report/QR-26-00162. The online copy always shows the latest status.

PART 1

AI code audit

The full source archive was read by our AI auditor against each check. It reads the code — it does not run it; running it is part 2.

CHECK	WHAT WE FOUND	SCORE	RESULT
Secrets & credentials	No real API keys, JWT secrets, or database URIs were found; .env.example uses CHANGE_ME placeholders and secrets are read from environment variables. However, the demo account password is published in the README and hardcoded as a seed fallback, and the seed also defaults a second author password. If a buyer seeds production without overriding these, known credentials will exist.	80	Warning
Dependency vulnerabilities	All three Node manifests (root, Express API, React Client) have a package-lock.json, and no wildcard or 'latest' versions are used. Dependencies are mostly current. Minor concerns are caret ranges, a placeholder client version, and one version I could not verify (vitest ^5), so this is a mild warning rather than a fail.	82	Pass
Authorisation & access control	Every mutating route visible carries authRequired, requireRole or adminOnly, moderation is admin-only, and passwords are bcrypt-hashed. Ownership enforcement in the blog controllers could not be verified because those files were omitted from the digest. There are minor concerns around the legacy token path and the unauthenticated login endpoints.	90	Pass
Database access rules	The project uses MongoDB via Mongoose, with no raw SQL and no string-built queries in the visible files. Route-level role gating and per-document ownership checks are described and tested, but the controllers and models that issue the queries were cut from the digest. The schema script, indexes and cascade rules therefore could not be verified, and the NoSQL injection and unscoped-delete risks are unproven either way.	78	Warning
Code structure & duplication	The project separates routes, controllers, models, middleware and configs cleanly, and CLAUDE.md documents the layout. The main weaknesses are repeated role-check logic and a few hard-coded values that belong in configuration, such as the demo password default in the seed script.	84	Pass
Build reproducibility	Each project has its own manifest and lockfile, and the Dockerfiles, compose file and live deployment suggest the product builds. The digest did not include HowToSetup.md's step order, and a few references are inconsistent (compose comments use old folder names, no pinned Node version, root scripts depend on a separate root install). A clean-machine run is probably fine but not proven.	72	Warning

Open warnings

Problems that did not stop the listing. They stay on the product page until a later version fixes them.

Warning 1 **No live credentials found; published demo password and seed defaults ship to buyers**

No real API keys, JWT secrets, or database URIs were found; .env.example uses CHANGE_ME placeholders and secrets are read from environment variables. However, the demo account password is published in the README and hardcoded as a seed fallback, and the seed also defaults a second author password. If a buyer seeds production without overriding these, known credentials will exist.

Warning 2 **Likely buildable, but compose paths, scripts and versions are not verifiable or are inconsistent**

Each project has its own manifest and lockfile, and the Dockerfiles, compose file and live deployment suggest the product builds. The digest did not include HowToSetup.md's step order, and a few references are inconsistent (compose comments use old folder names, no pinned Node version, root scripts depend on a separate root install). A clean-machine run is probably fine but not proven.

Warning 3 **Mongoose ORM with no visible injection; most data-layer files not shown, so schema and scoping can't be fully confirmed**

The project uses MongoDB via Mongoose, with no raw SQL and no string-built queries in the visible files. Route-level role gating and per-document ownership checks are described and tested, but the controllers and models that issue the queries were cut from the digest. The schema script, indexes and cascade rules therefore could not be verified, and the NoSQL injection and unscoped-delete risks are unproven either way.

Requirement list

What the builder agreed to deliver before uploading — 20 of 25 met.

!	Complete source for every part	Partial
✓	No real credentials	Met
✓	Single example config file in the API	Met
✓	Lockfiles for both Node projects	Met
!	MongoDB schema creates from empty	Partial
!	Protected write routes and role checks	Partial
✓	Working demo account on the live URL	Met
!	Install guide for two targets	Partial
!	Five differentiating features exist in code (nice)	Partial
✓	CLAUDE.md for AI coding tools (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG and seed data (nice)	Met
✓	Docker and tests (nice)	Met
✓	Optional docs (optional)	Met
✓	README.md	Met
✓	HowToSetup.md	Met
✓	Express a project filee	Met
✓	Express a project file	Met
✓	React a project file	Met
✓	a project file	Met
✓	CLAUDE.md (nice)	Met
✓	CHANGELOG.md (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (nice)	Met
✓	docker-compose.yml (nice)	Met
✓	LICENSE-NOTE.md (nice)	Met
✓	a project file (optional)	Met

PART 2

Human review

Reviewers from the Vibe96 team in Dubai worked through each question below; a final reviewer signed it off.

Stage 1 — Installed and run from the delivered code

Completed 24 Nov 2026

A reviewer installed the product on a clean machine, following only the guide that ships with it.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Packages restored without errors	Yes	
Solution builds clean	Yes	
Database created from the shipped script or migrations	Yes	
First sign-in works with the documented steps	Yes	
Setup guide is accurate and complete	Yes	
Every module in the feature list opens and works	Yes	
No real secrets in the archive	Yes	
Branding and company details can be changed without code	Yes	

Stage 2 — Live demo compared with the delivered code

Completed 27 Nov 2026

A second reviewer checked that what the listing and demo show is what the buyer receives.

QUESTION	ANSWER	REVIEWER'S NOTE
Demo URL is reachable	Yes	
Demo credentials work	Yes	
Demo runs the same version as the archive	Yes	
All five differentiators are visible in the demo	Yes	
Screenshots match the demo	Yes	
Nothing in the demo is missing from the code	Yes	
Datasheet claims are accurate	Yes	

Changes asked for during review

None — approved on the first round.

Sign-off: approved for sale on 27 Nov 2026 with 3 warnings shown publicly.

What this report covers — and what it doesn't

- **It covers** version 1.0.0 exactly as the buyer downloads it: the source archive, the setup guide and the live demo on the dates above.

- **It is not** a penetration test, a performance or load test, or a legal review of third-party package licences.
- **Scores are a point in time.** Libraries age; a later version is audited again and gets its own report.
- **Your setup matters.** Hosting, configuration and changes made after purchase are outside this review.
- **How the score is made:** each AI check is scored 0–100 and weighted (security counts most). A listing needs 75 or more, no failing check, and the human review passed.
- **Always try the live demo** before buying, and ask the builder questions from the product page.